1	Judge John C. Coughenour	
2	2	
3	3	FILED ENTERED LODGED RECEIVED
4		
5	SEP 26 2023	
6	AT SEATTLE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON BY	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR21-174 JCC
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	PLEA AGREEMENT
13		
14	JERRY WAYNE KOHL,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	The United States, through Acting United States Attorney Tessa M. Gorman and	
18	Assistant United States Attorneys C. Andrew Colasurdo and Michelle Jensen of the	
19	Western District of Washington and Jerry Wayne KOHL and his attorneys Chris Black	
20	and Sade Smith enter into the following Plea Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of	
21	Criminal Procedure 11(C)(1)(A) and 11(C)(1)(B).	
22	1. The Charge. Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this	
23	matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enters a plea of guilty to	
24	Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, the lesser-included offense of that	
25	charged in Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment, in violation of Title 21, United	
26	States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B), and 846.	
27	By entering a plea of guilty, Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of	
28	the charging document. Defendant further understands that before entering any guilty	

plea, Defendant will be placed under oath. Any statement given by Defendant under oath may be used by the United States in a prosecution for perjury or false statement.

- 2. **Elements of the Offense**. The elements of the offense of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, the lesser-included offense of that charged in Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B), and 846 are as follows:
- a. First, there was an agreement between two or more persons to distribute controlled substances, to wit methamphetamine; and
- b. Second, Defendant joined in the agreement knowing of its purpose and intending to help accomplish that purpose, that is the distribution of methamphetamine.
- 3. The Penalties. Defendant understands that the statutory penalties applicable to the offense of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, the lesser-included offense of that charged in Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(B), and 846 are as follows:
- a. A maximum term of imprisonment of up to 40 years and a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 5 years;
 - b. A fine of up to \$5,000,000.00;
- c. A period of supervision following release from prison of at least four years and up to life; and
 - d. A mandatory special assessment of \$100.00.

If a probationary sentence is imposed, the probation period can be for up to five (5) years. Defendant agrees that the special assessment shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing.

Drug Offense - Proof of Drug Quantity for Mandatory Minimum. Defendant further understands that, in order to invoke the statutory sentence for the lesser-included drug offense charged in Count 1 of the First Superseding Indictment, the United States

must prove that Defendant's conduct as a member of the narcotics conspiracy charged in Count 1, which includes the reasonably foreseeable conduct of other members of the narcotics conspiracy charged in Count 1, involved 5 grams or more of methamphetamine, its salts, isomers, and salts of its isomers, and 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, its salts, isomers, or salts of its isomers. Defendant expressly waives the right to require the United States to make this proof at trial and stipulates as a part of this plea of guilty that Defendant's conduct as a member of the narcotics conspiracy charged in Count 1, which includes the reasonably foreseeable conduct of other members of the narcotics conspiracy charged in Count 1, involved 5 grams or more of methamphetamine, its salts, isomers, and salts of its isomers, and 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, its salts, isomers, or salts of its isomers.

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which Defendant will be subject to certain restrictive conditions and requirements. Defendant further understands that, if supervised release is imposed and Defendant violates one or more of the conditions or requirements, Defendant could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

Defendant understands that as a part of any sentence, in addition to any term of imprisonment and/or fine that is imposed, the Court may order Defendant to pay restitution to any victim of the offense, as required by law.

Defendant further understands that the consequences of pleading guilty may include the forfeiture of certain property, either as a part of the sentence imposed by the Court, or as a result of civil judicial or administrative process.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs, or restitution, is due and payable immediately and further

peers;

agrees to submit a completed Financial Disclosure Statement as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Defendant understands that, if pleading guilty to a felony drug offense, Defendant will become ineligible for certain food stamp and Social Security benefits as directed by Title 21, United States Code, Section 862a.

- 4. Immigration Consequences. Defendant recognizes that pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to Defendant's immigration status if Defendant is not a citizen of the United States. Under federal law, a broad range of crimes are grounds for removal, and some offenses make removal from the United States presumptively mandatory. Removal and other immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding, and Defendant understands that no one, including Defendant's attorney and the Court, can predict with certainty the effect of a guilty plea on immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that Defendant wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that Defendant's guilty plea may entail, even if the consequence is Defendant's mandatory removal from the United States.
- 5. **Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty.** Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights:
 - a. The right to plead not guilty and to persist in a plea of not guilty;
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of Defendant's
- c. The right to the effective assistance of counsel at trial, including, if Defendant could not afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for Defendant;
- d. The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established beyond a reasonable doubt at trial;
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against Defendant at trial;

- f. The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on Defendant's behalf at trial;
- g. The right to testify or to remain silent at trial, at which trial such silence could not be used against Defendant; and
 - h. The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings.
- 6. United States Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands and acknowledges that the Court must consider the sentencing range calculated under the United States Sentencing Guidelines and possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines together with the other factors set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), including: (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense; (2) the history and characteristics of Defendant; (3) the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense; (4) the need for the sentence to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; (5) the need for the sentence to protect the public from further crimes of Defendant; (6) the need to provide Defendant with educational and vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner; (7) the kinds of sentences available; (8) the need to provide restitution to victims; and (9) the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparity among defendants involved in similar conduct who have similar records. Accordingly, Defendant understands and acknowledges that:
- a. The Court will determine Defendant's Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing;
- b. After consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and the factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, up to the maximum term authorized by law;
- c. The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines range offered by the parties or the United States Probation Department, or by any stipulations or agreements between the parties in this Plea Agreement; and

- d. Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the sentence imposed by the Court.
- 7. **Ultimate Sentence**. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.
- 8. **Statement of Facts**. The parties agree on the following facts. Defendant admits Defendant is guilty of the charged offense:

Beginning at a time unknown, and continuing until on or about November 2, 2021, the drug-trafficking organization charged in this case (the "DTO") distributed, and directed other associates to distribute, controlled substances, including methamphetamine, heroin, and fentanyl, in the Western District of Washington and elsewhere. Numerous individuals assisted the DTO with its drug trafficking activities. Some individuals supplied methamphetamine, heroin, and fentanyl, while others, including Defendant KOHL, helped redistribute those drugs to others in the Western District of Washington and elsewhere.

This conspiracy, and KOHL's role therein, is established by witness and informant accounts; recorded telephone calls; court-authorized interceptions of wire and electronic communications; controlled purchases of drugs by confidential sources; surveillance; seizures of drugs and cash; search warrants; and other evidence, only some of which is outlined below.

During the course of the investigation, KOHL regularly obtained multiple-pound quantities of methamphetamine from two different DTO redistributors (Redistributor 1 and Redistributor 2) who both had direct access to the DTO leader. For example, on June 26, 2021, KOHL obtained five pounds of methamphetamine from Redistributor 1. On July 14, 2021, he obtained another ten pounds of methamphetamine from Redistributor 1. On both September 22, 2021, and September 29, 2021, KOHL obtained five pounds of methamphetamine from Redistributor 2. Once obtained, KOHL redistributed the methamphetamine to others in the Western District of Washington. KOHL was arrested on November 2, 2021. During his arrest, agents recovered 323.5 gross grams of methamphetamine and the phone KOHL used to communicate with Redistributors 1 and 2 during the intercepted communication.

Although the exact amount of drugs KOHL distributed, or conspired to distribute, is unknown, the parties agree that it was at least 11.663 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing methamphetamine

The parties agree that the Court may consider additional facts contained in the Presentence Report (subject to standard objections by the parties) and/or that may be presented by the United States or Defendant at the time of sentencing, and that the factual statement contained herein is not intended to limit the facts that the parties may present to the Court at the time of sentencing.

- 9. **Sentencing Factors**. The parties agree that the following Sentencing Guidelines provisions apply to this case:
- a. A base offense level of 34 under USSG §§ 2D1.1(c)(3) because the offense involved at least 11.663 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing methamphetamine; and
- b. A two-level decrease under USSG § 3E1.1(b) if Defendant clearly demonstrates acceptance of responsibility for his offense, as further explained in Paragraph 11.

The parties agree they are free to present arguments regarding the applicability of all other provisions of the United States Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands, however, that at the time of sentencing, the Court is free to reject these stipulated adjustments, and is further free to apply additional downward or upward adjustments in determining Defendant's Sentencing Guidelines range.

USSG § 4C1.1 (Zero-Point Offender) and USSG § 4A1.1 (Status Points). Defendant may seek to benefit now from published proposed Amendments to the Sentencing Guidelines that are favorable to defendants, but which are not scheduled to go into effect until November 2023. Specifically, Defendant may seek to be sentenced as a Zero-Point Offender, pursuant to published proposed Amendments to USSG § 4C1.1. Among other benefits, the Amendments would award a two-offense-level reduction for defendants who score zero criminal history points *and* meet all other criteria listed under USSG § 4C1.1(a)(1)-(10). In addition, Defendant may seek to take early advantage of proposed changes to how criminal history status points are assessed under USSG § 4A1.1(d). The

Amendments would assess only one criminal history point (instead of two) for commission of the instant offense while under a criminal justice sentence, and otherwise narrow the eligibility for that point. The Sentencing Commission has indicated it may make these Amendments retroactively applicable. Considering these expected revisions, during the interim period between now and the effective date, so long as these Amendments remain pending enactment but are not yet effective, the parties agree as follows:

The parties have no agreement as to whether Defendant qualifies as a Zero-Point Offender or qualifies for criminal history status points. Both parties are free to litigate their position on these issues in connection with sentencing. If the Court is inclined to sentence Defendant consistently with these proposed Amendments, the Court first must calculate the total offense level, criminal history category, and applicable sentencing range under the current version of the Sentencing Guidelines.

After that, if the Court determines that Defendant meets all criteria to qualify as a Zero-Point Offender under the proposed Amendments to USSG § 4C1.1, the United States will not object to the Court granting a two-level downward variance from the otherwise-applicable total offense level and otherwise treating Defendant as a Zero-Point Offender consistent with the proposed Amendments to USSG § 4C1.1 and Application Notes.

If the Court determines that Defendant is eligible to benefit from the revised criteria for calculation of status points under the proposed Amendments to USSG § 4A1.1(d), the United States will not object to the Court granting a variance from the otherwise-applicable criminal history category and treating Defendant as if they had fewer criminal history points, consistent with the proposed Amendments.

Defendant agrees that if the Court grants Defendant the benefit of early application of these proposed Amendments to USSG § 4C1.1 and/or § 4A1.1 at sentencing, then Defendant agrees that Defendant is not eligible for, and will not request, a post-conviction sentence reduction on the same basis, either on direct appeal or in any post-

conviction motion, if and when the Amendments go into retroactive effect. Defendant further acknowledges that if the Court explicitly finds that Defendant does not qualify for a sentencing benefit under these proposed Amendments to USSG § 4C1.1 and/or § 4A1.1, Defendant waives any right to appeal that denial on direct appeal or in a collateral attack or post-conviction motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, as further described in the paragraph of this Plea Agreement entitled "Waiver of Appellate Rights and Rights to Collateral Attack."

- 11. **Acceptance of Responsibility.** The United States agrees that Defendant is eligible to have the offense level reduced by two levels for accepting responsibility, pursuant to USSG §§3E1.1(a). However, the United States will not move to apply the third level reduction, pursuant to USSG §§3E1.1(b), because Defendant did not timely notify the United States of his intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.
- 12. Sentence Recommendation. The United States agrees to recommend a term of imprisonment that is no longer than 78 months. Defendant understands that this recommendation is not binding on the Court and the Court may reject the recommendations of the parties and may impose any term of imprisonment up to the statutory maximum penalty authorized by law. Defendant further understands that Defendant cannot withdraw a guilty plea simply because of the sentence imposed by the Court. Except as otherwise provided in this Plea Agreement, the parties are free to present arguments regarding any other aspect of sentencing.
- 13. **Forfeiture**. Defendant understands that the forfeiture of property is part of the sentence that must be imposed in this case.

Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States immediately any right, title, and interest in all property that constitutes or is traceable to proceeds of his commission of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, the lesser-included offense of that

charged in Count 1, as well as any property that facilitated the offense. All such property is forfeitable pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.

Defendant agrees to fully assist the United States in the forfeiture of any forfeitable property and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States, including but not limited to: surrendering title and executing any documents necessary to effect forfeiture; assisting in bringing any property located outside the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States; and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that property subject to forfeiture is not sold, disbursed, wasted, hidden, or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture. Defendant agrees not to file a claim to any of this property in any federal forfeiture proceeding, administrative or judicial, that may be or has been initiated. Defendant also agrees he will not assist any party who may file a claim to this property in any federal forfeiture proceeding.

The United States reserves its right to proceed against any remaining property not identified in this Plea Agreement, including any property in which Defendant has any interest or control, if that property constitutes or is traceable to proceeds of his commission of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, or facilitated that offense.

- 14. **Abandonment of Contraband**. Defendant also agrees that, if any federal law enforcement agency seized any illegal contraband that was in Defendant's direct or indirect control, Defendant consents to the federal administrative disposition, official use, and/or destruction of that contraband.
- 15. Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Plea Agreement based upon evidence in its possession at this time, and that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation, and moves to dismiss the remaining counts charged against Defendant in the Second Superseding Indictment at the time of sentencing. In this regard, Defendant recognizes the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges the evidence establishes were committed by

Defendant solely because of the promises made by Defendant in this Plea Agreement. Defendant agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all conduct committed by Defendant.

Defendant agrees that any charges to be dismissed before or at the time of sentencing were substantially justified in light of the evidence available to the United States, were not vexatious, frivolous or taken in bad faith, and do not provide Defendant with a basis for any future claims under the "Hyde Amendment," Pub. L. No. 105-119 (1997).

16. **Breach, Waiver, and Post-Plea Conduct.** Defendant agrees that, if Defendant breaches this Plea Agreement, the United States may withdraw from this Plea Agreement and Defendant may be prosecuted for all offenses for which the United States has evidence. Defendant agrees not to oppose any steps taken by the United States to nullify this Plea Agreement, including the filing of a motion to withdraw from the Plea Agreement. Defendant also agrees that, if Defendant is in breach of this Plea Agreement, Defendant has waived any objection to the re-institution of any charges that previously were dismissed or any additional charges that had not been prosecuted.

Defendant further understands that if, after the date of this Plea Agreement,

Defendant should engage in illegal conduct, or conduct that violates any conditions of
release or the conditions of confinement (examples of which include, but are not limited
to, obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while
pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the Pretrial Services
Officer, Probation Officer, or Court), the United States is free under this Plea Agreement
to file additional charges against Defendant or to seek a sentence that takes such conduct
into consideration by requesting the Court to apply additional adjustments or
enhancements in its Sentencing Guidelines calculations in order to increase the applicable
advisory Guidelines range, and/or by seeking an upward departure or variance from the
calculated advisory Guidelines range. Under these circumstances, the United States is

free to seek such adjustments, enhancements, departures, and/or variances even if otherwise precluded by the terms of the Plea Agreement.

- 17. Waiver of Appellate Rights and Rights to Collateral Attacks.

 Defendant acknowledges that, by entering the guilty plea required by this Plea

 Agreement, Defendant waives all rights to appeal from Defendant's conviction, and any
 pretrial rulings of the Court, and any rulings of the Court made prior to entry of the
 judgment of conviction. Defendant further agrees that, provided the Court imposes a
 custodial sentence that is within or below the Sentencing Guidelines range (or the
 statutory mandatory minimum, if greater than the Guidelines range) as determined by the
 Court at the time of sentencing, Defendant waives to the full extent of the law:
- a. Any right conferred by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, to challenge, on direct appeal, the sentence imposed by the Court, including any fine, restitution order, probation or supervised release conditions, or forfeiture order (if applicable); and
- b. Any right to bring a collateral attack against the conviction and sentence, including any restitution order imposed, except as it may relate to the effectiveness of legal representation.

This waiver does not preclude Defendant from bringing an appropriate motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, to address the conditions of Defendant's confinement or the decisions of the Bureau of Prisons regarding the execution of Defendant's sentence.

If Defendant breaches this Plea Agreement at any time by appealing or collaterally attacking (except as to effectiveness of legal representation) the conviction or sentence in any way, the United States may prosecute Defendant for any counts, including those with mandatory minimum sentences, that were dismissed or not charged pursuant to this Plea Agreement.

18. Voluntariness of Plea. Defendant agrees that Defendant has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises were made to

1 | induce Defendant to enter a plea of guilty other than the promises contained in this Plea 2 | Agreement or set forth on the record at the change of plea hearing in this matter.

- 19. **Statute of Limitations**. In the event this Plea Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or Defendant breaches any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to: (1) thirty (30) days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) thirty (30) days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.
- 20. Completeness of Plea Agreement. The United States and Defendant acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties, except as may be set forth on the record at the change of plea hearing in this matter. This Plea Agreement binds only the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.

Dated this 25 day of September, 2023.

JERRY WAYNE KOHL

Defendant

CHRIS BLACK & SADÉ SMITH

Attorneys for Defendant

VINCENT T. LOMBARDI

Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:21-cr-00174-JCC Document 645 Filed 09/26/23 Page 14 of 14

C. ANDREW COLASURDO Assistant United States Attorney

MICHELLE JENSEN

Assistant United States Attorney